




In re: Adam William Saxler et al.  
Serial No.: 10/617,843  
Filed: July 11, 2003  
Page 12 of 12

### REMARKS

In response to the Restriction Requirement of February 19, 2004, Applicants hereby elect Invention I, corresponding to Claims 1-46. Applicants have canceled Claims 47-79 corresponding to Invention II. This cancellation is being done without prejudice to the filing of any divisional application for these and/or other claims. This election is without traverse because Applicants agree that a determination of the unpatentability of Invention I would not necessarily imply the unpatentability of Invention II.

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully request favorable examination and allowance of Claims 1-46.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert M. Meeks  
Registration No. 40,723  
Attorney for Applicants

**USPTO Customer No. 20792**  
Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec  
Post Office Box 37428  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27627  
Telephone: 919/854-1400  
Facsimile: 919/854-1401

### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on March 3, 2004.



Candi L. Riggs  
Date of Signature: March 3, 2004